UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,908	02/05/2004	Seock-Hwan Kang	21C-0086	8595	
23413 CANTOR COL	7590 09/28/200 LBURN, LLP	7	EXAMINER		
55 GRIFFIN R	OAD SOUTH		ALEMU, EPHREM		
BLOOMFIELD), CT 06002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2821	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
		•	-		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/773,908	KANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ephrem Alemu	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this or NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 M</u>	lav 2007.		•			
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.		•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal		rs, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application.		,				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4,13,18-24,29,38,4</u>	•	e withdrawn from consid	eration			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,5-12,15-18,25-28,30-41,46,48-50,5</u>	2 and 53 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		•			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		v the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·		R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	<u> </u>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	450)			
I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	ormal Patent Application (PTO .	-152)			
	·					

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 25, 34, 35, 46, 49, 50, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Cho et al. (US 6,674,250 previously cited by examiner) in view of Germeshausen (US 2,756,361).

Re claims 1, 5, 9 and 10, Cho discloses a 1amp (10) for emitting light (Figs. 1-8) comprising:

a lamp body (11) in which a discharge gas (125) is injected (Figs. 1-8);

first and second electrodes (13) disposed at opposite end of the lamp body, the first and second electrodes receiving current externally provided, wherein the first electrode includes:

a first member (i.e., external electrode 13) that receives a first end portion of the lamp body (11), the first member being electrically conductive (Figs. 1-8; Col. 7, line 48- Col. 8, line 34; wherein the first member of the first electrode has a tube shape having opposite ends of which one is open and the other is closed); and

a second member (i.e., protective film in the inner side of the external electrode 13) disposed between the first member (i.e., external electrode 13) and the lamp body (11), the second member (i.e., protective film in the inner side of the external electrode 13) being coated on the first end portion of the lamp body to provide adhesion between the first member (i.e.,

Art Unit: 2821

external electrode 13) and the lamp body (11) (Figs. 1-4; Col. 7, line 48- Col. 8, line 34; wherein the second member of the first electrode is airtightly formed to prevent a void gap between the first member (i.e., external electrode 13) and the lamp body (11); and wherein the size of entire inner surface of the first member of the first electrode is substantially identical with a size of entire outer surface of the second member of the first electrode).

Cho does not show the second member to provide adhesion being a metallic solder.

In the same field of endeavor, Germeshausen teaches about the use of a metal solder (i.e., silver 8) as a second member for use as adhesive between a first conductive member (i.e., cap 16 or disk 38) that receives a first end portion of a lamp body (1) and the lamp body (1) for the purpose of providing a good seal between the first conductive member (i.e., cap 16 or disk 38) and the end portion of the lamp body (1) (Figs. 2-10; Col. 2, lines 14-26 & 30-47; Col. 3, line 14-Col. 4, line 40).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made Cho's dielectric second member with Germeshausen's solder metallic second member for the purpose of achieving a good seal between the first conductive member and the end portion of the lamp body as taught Germeshausen.

Re claims 12, 14, 16 and 17, Cho further discloses the second electrodes (13) having the same structure as the first electrode (13). Therefore, claims 12, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected for the same reason given above for the structure of the first electrode as discussed above in claims 1, 5, 9 and 10.

Re claim 25, 34, 46 and 49, Cho discloses a display device having a display panel and a light assembly (Figs. 1-4) for providing light, comprising:

Art Unit: 2821

a lamp body (11) in which a discharge gas (125) is injected (Figs. 1-8);

first and second electrodes (13) disposed at opposite end of the lamp body, the first and second electrodes receiving current externally provided, wherein the first electrode includes:

a first member (i.e., external electrode 13) that receives a first end portion of the lamp body (11), the first member being electrically conductive (Figs. 1-8; Col. 7, line 48- Col. 8, line 34; wherein the first member of the first electrode has a tube shape having opposite ends of which one is open and the other is closed); and

a second member (i.e., protective film in the inner side of the external electrode 13) disposed between the first member (i.e., external electrode 13) and the lamp body (11), the second member (i.e., protective film in the inner side of the external electrode 13) being coated on the first end portion of the lamp body to provide adhesion between the first member (i.e., external electrode 13) and the lamp body (11) (Figs. 1-4; Col. 7, line 48- Col. 8, line 34; wherein the second member of the first electrode is airtightly formed to prevent a void gap between the first member (i.e., external electrode 13) and the lamp body (11); and wherein the size of entire inner surface of the first member of the first electrode is substantially identical with a size of entire outer surface of the second member of the first electrode);

a voltage applying module (Fig. 7) that receives the driving voltage from an external source and providing the driving voltage to the first and second electrodes of the lamp (Fig. 10); and

a receiving container (Fig. 10) that receives and securely holds the lamp (10) and the voltage applying module (Fig. 7).

Cho does not show the second member to provide adhesion being a metallic solder.

Art Unit: 2821

In the same field of endeavor, Germeshausen teaches about the use of a metal solder (i.e., silver 8) as a second member for sue as adhesion between a first conductive member (i.e., cap 16 or disk 38) that receives a first end portion of a lamp body (1) and the lamp body (1) for the purpose of providing a good seal between the first conductive member (i.e., cap 16 or disk 38) and the end portion of the lamp body (1) (Figs. 2-10; Col. 2, lines 14-26 & 30-47; Col. 3, line 14-Col. 4, line 40).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made Cho's dielectric second member with Germeshausen's solder metallic second member for the purpose of achieving a good seal between the first conductive member and the end portion of the lamp body as taught Germeshausen.

Re claims 35, 50 and 53, Cho further discloses the second electrodes (13) having the same structure as the first electrode (13). Therefore, claims 35, 50 and 53 are rejected for the same reason given above for the structure of the first electrode as discussed above in claim 25.

3. Claims 26, 27, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Cho et al. (US 6,674,250) in view of Germeshausen (US 2,756,361) further in view of Yoo et al. (US 6,905,224 previously cited by Examiner).

Re claims 26, 27, 36 and 37, although, Cho discloses the receiving container including first and second frames for receiving the first and second electrodes of the lamp (Fig. 3e); Cho does not show first and second lamp clips for holding the first and second electrodes of the lamp, the first lamp clip being attached to the first frame, wherein the first and second frames, respectively, including upper and lower parts between which the first and second electrodes of the lamp, respectively being disposed; and a connection part connected with the upper and lower

Art Unit: 2821

parts, the connection part having an opening through which the first electrode of the lamp is inserted.

However, it is well within the skill of artisan at the time the invention was made to provide such structural modification for the purpose of securely holding the light assembly and the display panel.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoo discloses such feature (Figs. 10-13).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the first and second frames of Cho's modified by Germeshausen's light assembly by providing clips as claimed in claims 26, 27, 36 and 37 for no other reason than securely holding the display panel and the light assembly.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2821

Claims 1, 5-7, 9-12, 16-18, 25-28, 30-31, 33-38, 40, 41, 46, 49, 50 and 53 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 10/508,587. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application and the '587 co-pending application discloses an image display device (LCD apparatus 800) for displaying images using light internally provided (Fig. 12), comprising:

a display panel (LCD panel 600) to display images using the light and image data externally provided (Fig. 12; paragraph [0063],

a light assembly (i.e., plurality of lamps 300 received in a receiving container 400) to provide the light, the light assembly comprising:

a lamp (300) including:

a lamp body (110) in which a discharge gas (125) is injected (Figs. 3-8; abstract; page 2, paragraph [0035]);

first and second electrodes (130, 150) disposed at opposite end of the lamp body, the first and second electrodes receiving current externally provided, wherein the first electrode includes:

a first member (136, 137) that receives a first end portion of the lamp body (110), the first member being electrically conductive (Figs. 3-8; abstract; page 2, paragraph [0035] to paragraph [0047]); and

a second member (200) dispose between the first member (136, 137) and the lamp body (110), the second member (200) having metallic solder and being coated on the first end portion of the lamp body to provide adhesion between the first member (136, 137) and the lamp body (110) (Figs. 3-8; abstract; page 2, paragraph [0035] to paragraph [0047]; wherein the second

Page 8

Art Unit: 2821

member of the first electrode is airtightly formed to prevent a void gap between the first member and the lamp body).

The '587 co-pending application does not show all the detailed structure of the voltage applying module, the receiving container, and holding members for securely holding the display panel and the light assembly. However, it is well within the skill of artisan at the time the invention was made to provide such structural modification for the purpose of securely holding the light assembly and the display panel. As an example see Hur et al. (US P2004/0232853); Yoo et al. (US 6,905,224); and Cho et al. (US 6,674,250).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the second member having metallic solder on the first end portion of the lamp body by melting to provide adhesion and further modify the voltage applying module, the receiving container, and holding members of Kim's image display device (LCD apparatus 800) as claimed for no other reason than supplying power voltage to the plurality of lamps and securely holding the display panel and the light assembly.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the art rejection have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the provisional double patenting rejection filed 5/31/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant argument the provisional double patenting should be withdrawn since neither the present claims nor the claims of the copending application serial no. 10/508,587, there is no way that double patenting can be determined is respectfully disagreed.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,908 Page 9

Art Unit: 2821

According to MPEP section 804, a "provisional" double patenting rejection should continue to be made by the examiner in each application as long as there are conflicting claims in more than one application unless that "provisional" double patenting rejection is the only rejection remaining in at least one of the applications. In addition, if the obviousness-type double patenting rejection is the only rejection remaining in the later-filed application (i.e., the instant application), while the earlier-filed application (i.e., copending application serial no. 10/508,587) is rejectable on other grounds, a terminal disclaimer must be required in the later-filed (i.e., the instant application) application before the rejection can be withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 8, 15, 32, 39, 48 and 52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and overcoming the "provisional" double patenting rejection over the copending Application No. 10/508,587.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fail to teach or suggest, alone or in combination, the following limitations: "wherein the first or second end portion of the lamp body has a rough surface on which the second or fourth member of the first electrode is coated, the rough surface increasing adhesion between the first end portion of the lamp body and the second member of the first electrode" in a manner claimed in claims 8, 15, 32, 39, 48 and 52.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2821

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ephrem Alemu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas W Owens can be reached on (571) 272-1662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EA 9/21/07

> DOUGLAS W. OWENS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Dougl W. Oue 9/25/07